

## OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

ANITA ALVAREZ STATE'S ATTORNEY CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS BUREAU 2650 SOUTH CALIFORNIA AVE. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60608

To:

All Supervisors, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

From:

Joe Magats, Deputy Chief, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

Re:

Potential Giglio material regarding Chicago Police Officers Gildardo Sierra, Star#

3656 and Steven Carroll, Star# 12236

Date:

May 17, 2016

Cc:

Dan Kirk, First Assistant

Walt Hehner, Chief Deputy

Garvin Ambrsoe, Chief of Staff

Fabio Valentini, Chief, Criminal Prosecutions Bureau

Mike Golden, Chief, Special Prosecutions Bureau

Tisa Morris, Chief, Juvenile Justice Bureau

Donald Pechous, Acting Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

Brian Sexton, Chief, Narcotics Prosecutions Bureau

On March 22, 2011 The Honorable Judge William Hooks, in the case of <u>The People of The State of Illinois v. George Davis</u>, 09CR-6823, made findings regarding the credibility of Chicago Police Officers Gildardo Sierra, Star# 3656 and Steven Carroll, Star# 12236 that may impact their credibility should they testify in court in the future. A copy of Judge Hooks' findings is attached to this memo.

Pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 US 83 (1963), the prosecution's disclosure of exculpatory information is part of the constitutional right to a fair trial. This duty of disclosure also includes evidence that may impeach the credibility of a prosecution witness. <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S.

150, 154 (1972). Judge Hooks' findings regarding Officers Sierra's and Carroll's testimony could potentially be found to be the type of information required to be disclosed under <u>Giglio</u>.

Given this possibility, if you or any of the assistants that you supervise have a case where either Officer Sierra or Officer Carroll is listed as a potential witness on our answer to discovery, Judge Hooks' finding is to be disclosed to the defense prior to trial. The MIS Department is doing a computer search to determine whether Officer Sierra or Officer Dolan is a witness on any pending felony cases. Once I have the results of that search, I will forward them to each of you. Please don't call MIS and have another list run. In addition, MIS has been instructed to flag Officer Sierra's and Officer Carroll's names in CRIMES to alert ASAs that a notice of disclosure is required in the case. Units that do not have a computer case management system will have to check their files to determine whether Officer Sierra or Officer Carroll is a witness on any pending cases.

If you or the assistants that you supervise have a case where either Officer Sierra or Officer Carroll is listed as a witness, please tender to the defense the attached Notice of Disclosure prior to trial. None of the ASAs that you supervise are to file the notice without your knowledge. An electronic copy of the notice will be sent to you.

Also please remind your ASAs that the mere fact that this possible <u>Giglio</u> material is discoverable and has been tendered to the defense does not in and of itself mean that the defense can introduce the evidence at trial or cross-examine Officer Dolan regarding the material. The ASAs should, in the appropriate cases, file all necessary motions to seek a judicial determination as to whether this information is <u>Giglio</u> material and, if so, whether the material is relevant and admissible at any trial or hearing where Officer Sierra or Officer Carroll is going to be called as a witness.

In general, the case law in this area is, of course, set out in <u>United States v. Brady</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). The timing of disclosure is governed by <u>Weatherford v. Bursey</u>, 429 U.S. 545 (1997). What needs to be disclosed is discussed in <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976); <u>United States v. Diaz</u>, 922 F.2d (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 1990); <u>United States v. Veras</u>, 51 F.3d 1365 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995) and <u>United States v. Kiszewski</u>, 877 F.2d 210 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 1989). Limiting the use of this type of information is discussed in <u>People v. Driskell</u>, 213 Ill.App.3d 196 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 1991) and People v. Fonza, 217 Ill.App.3d 883, 892 (1st Dist. 1991).

STATE OF		
COUNTY (	) SS. OF COOK)	
		CUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY PARTMENT, CRIMINAL DIVISION
PEOPLE O	F THE STATE OF IL	LINOIS )
	vs	) Case No
	/	) )
	NOTICE OF DI	SCLOSURE TO THE DEFENDANT
State's Attor		f the State of Illinois by their attorney Anita Alvarez, Illinois, through her assistants / and / hereby disclose to
1.	The People of the findings regarding the	011 the Honorable Judge William Hooks, in the case of State of Illinois v. George Davis, 09CR-6823, made ne testimony of Chicago Police Officer Gildardo Sierra, Carroll, Star #12236 that may impact his credibility court in the future.
2.	That Officer Sierra/Carroll is listed as a witness for the prosecution in the above-captioned case.	
3.	A copy of Judge Hoo	oks' March 22, 2011 finding is attached to this Notice.
		Respectfully submitted,
		Anita Alvarez State's Attorney of Cook County
	Ву:	
	·	Assistant State's Attorney
		Assistant State's Attorney